IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF GEORGIA **MACON DIVISION**

ROBERT CARSWELL,

Plaintiff

VS.

THREE UNIDENTIFIED MALE and/or THREE UNIDENTIFIED

OFFICERS,

CIVIL ACTION NO. 5:10-CV-323 (CAR)

ORDER

Defendants

Plaintiff ROBERT CARSWELL, an inmate at the Bibb County Law Enforcement Center in Macon, Georgia, has filed a pro se civil rights complaint under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. He has not paid the filing fee so the Court assumes that he wishes to proceed without prepayment of the filing fee or security therefor pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a).

The Prison Litigation Reform Act of 1995 provides as follows:

[I]n no event shall a prisoner bring a civil action or appeal a judgment in a civil action or proceeding under this section if the prisoner has, on three or more prior occasions, while incarcerated or detained in any facility, brought an action or appeal in a court of the United States that was dismissed that is frivolous, malicious, or fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, unless the prisoner is under imminent danger of physical injury.

28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).

The Eleventh Circuit has concluded that § 1915(g) does not violate the following: the doctrine of separation of powers; an inmate's right of access to the courts; an inmates right to due process of law; or an inmates right to equal protection. Accordingly, the Eleventh Circuit has upheld the constitutionality of section 1915(g). *Rivera v. Allin*, 144 F.3d 719, 721-27 (11th Cir. 1998).

A review of plaintiff's history of filings reveals that plaintiff has brought numerous civil actions with federal courts in the State of Georgia while incarcerated. At present, at least ten (10) of these complaints have been dismissed as frivolous prior to service of process pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915: *Carswell v. Jones*, 1:95-cv-2627-WBH (N.D. Ga. Dec. 12, 1995); *Carswell v. Moxley*, 1:96-cv-1473-WBH (N.D. Ga. Aug. 27, 1996); *Carswell v. Garner*, 1:96-cv-1827-WBH (N.D. Ga. Sept. 12, 1996); *Carswell v. Jones*, 1:96-cv-1826-WBH (N.D. Ga. Sept. 16, 1996); *Carswell v. Miller*, 1:96-cv-2304-WBH (N.D. Ga. Dec. 16, 1996); *Carswell v. Purcell*, 1:96-cv-3280-WBH (N.D. Ga. Apr. 21, 1997); *Carswell v. Francisco*, 5:97-cv-608(HL) (M.D. Ga. Sept. 10, 1997); *Carswell v. Morgan*, 1:97-cv-960-WBH (N.D. Ga. Oct. 1, 1997); *Carswell v. Ursitti*, 5:97-cv-660(WDO) (M.D. Ga. Oct. 7, 1997); *Carswell v. Mercado*, 3:98-cv-54(DHB) (S.D. Ga. Jan. 11, 1999).

As plaintiff has more than three strikes under section 1915(g), he cannot proceed *in* forma pauperis in the instant case unless he can show that he qualifies for the "imminent danger of serious physical injury" exception of § 1915(g). Upon review of plaintiff's complaint, this Court finds no indication that the plaintiff is "under imminent danger of serious physical injury."

Because plaintiff has had more than three prior dismissals and is not under imminent danger of serious injury, his request to proceed *in forma pauperis* is **DENIED** and the instant action is **DISMISSED** without prejudice. If plaintiff wishes to bring a new civil rights action, he may do so by submitting new complaint forms and the entire \$350.00 filing fee at

the time of filing the complaint.

SO ORDERED, this 9th day of September, 2010.

S/C. Ashley Royal C. ASHLEY ROYAL, JUDGE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

lnb